Application No.

Applicant(s)

t(s)

Interview Summary Examiner

Eric Winakur

09/045,734

Group Art Unit 3736

Luciano



	presentative, PTO personnel):
1) <u>Eric Winakur</u>	
(2) Sharon Day	(4)
Date of Interview	1999
Гуре: 🛛 Telephonic 🗌 Personal (co	py is given to \square applicant \square applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducte	ed: 🗌 Yes 🛛 No. If yes, brief description:
Agreement 🛛 was reached. 🗌 was n	ot reached.
Claim(s) discussed: 14, 42, and 62	
Identification of prior art discussed: None	
respectively. Examiner requested, and A Examiner will make the changes by infor	claims 14, 42, and 62 depended from canceled claims 13, 41, and 61, Applicant approved, changing dependencies to claims 1, 36, and 60, respectively. Imal Examiner's Amendment.
respectively. Examiner requested, and A	Applicant approved, changing dependencies to claims 1, 36, and 60, respectively.
respectively. Examiner requested, and A	Applicant approved, changing dependencies to claims 1, 36, and 60, respectively. mal Examiner's Amendment.
Examiner will make the changes by information (A fuller description, if necessary, and a the claims allowable must be attached.	Applicant approved, changing dependencies to claims 1, 36, and 60, respectively. mal Examiner's Amendment. copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a the claims allowable must be attached. is available, a summary thereof must be	Applicant approved, changing dependencies to claims 1, 36, and 60, respectively. mal Examiner's Amendment. copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a the claims allowable must be attached is available, a summary thereof must be 1. It is not necessary for applicant Unless the paragraph above has been checked. It is not necessary for applicant Unless the paragraph above has been checked. It is not necessary for applicant Unless the paragraph above has been checked. It is not necessary for applicant Unless the paragraph above has been checked. It is not necessary for applicant Unless the paragraph above has been checked. It is not necessary for applicant Unless the paragraph above has been checked.	Applicant approved, changing dependencies to claims 1, 36, and 60, respectively. Imal Examiner's Amendment. Copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable attached.)
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a the claims allowable must be attached is available, a summary thereof must be 1. It is not necessary for applicant Unless the paragraph above has been checked. It is not necessary for applicant Unless the paragraph above has been checked. If a response to the laften FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE of the objections, rejection claims are now allowable, this certains.	copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable attached.) to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. ecked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP st Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a the claims allowable must be attached. is available, a summary thereof must be 1. It is not necessary for applicant Unless the paragraph above has been checked. If a response to the lafted FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE. 2. Since the Examiner's interview seach of the objections, rejection claims are now allowable, this coffice action. Applicant is not response to the control of the contr	copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable attached.) to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. ecked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP st Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.